



CALL FOR PAPERS – DOSSIERS 2018

In order to broaden its scope and the quality of the scientific articles published, the editorial board of *Brazilian Journal of Criminal Procedure* announces this **public call for authors to thematic dossiers** that will be published on the three issues of the review in 2018, according to the list of themes, associated-editors and deadlines that follows:

	Deadline for submissions	Period of evaluations and rounds of corrections	Estimated publication
Vol. 4 n. 1	Dec. 10, 2017	Dec., Jan. and Feb. 2018	March 2018
Vol. 4 n. 2	Mar. 31, 2018	Apr. and May 2018	June 2018
Vol. 4 n. 3	Jul. 10, 2018	Jul., Aug. and Sep. 2018	October 2018

The submission of articles must be done in the online system of RBDPP, pointing the **addressed dossier**. Any doubt or request can be sent to: revista@ibraspp.com.br.

The paper must **comply with all the rules established in the Editorial Policies and Authors Guideline of the RDBPP**, so that their inattention will lead to preliminary rejection:

- <http://www.ibraspp.com.br/revista/index.php/RBDPP/about/submissions>
- <http://www.ibraspp.com.br/revista/index.php/RBDPP/about/editorialPolicies#peerReviewProcess>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BvaGv-08dNr5Z3JFdkZPSDE5WEE> (overview of both documents in English)

Along with the other requirements, the work must be original, unpublished and compatible with the subject matter of the indicated dossier; have between 15 and 25 pages; must be written in Portuguese, English, Spanish or Italian; contain title, abstract and keywords in the language of the text and in English; and a list at the end of the text of the bibliography used.

There will be evaluation through the **double-blind peer review system**. The guidelines of Qualis/CAPES-BR and the scientific publishing parameters adopted in RBDPP, such as the exogeneity of authors and referees, will be respected, which limits the participation of researchers linked to

state of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil) to 25% of the total and ensures preference to articles with at least one author with a PhD title or association to a foreign university.

In addition to the specific call for papers for those dossiers, the call for the **general sections** of the RBDPP is still open:

- <http://www.ibraspp.com.br/revista/index.php/RBDPP/about/editorialPolicies#sectionPolicies>

- **Vol. 4, n. 1 – “Criminal Evidence: epistemological and juridical foundations”**
 - Prof. Dr. Gustavo Henrique Badaró (Universidade de São Paulo/Brasil)
 - <http://lattes.cnpq.br/9774291666409837>
 - *Summary:* The theme of evidence occupies a central role in contemporary criminal procedure. A fair decision requires the correct judgment of the facts and the law, and these activities must be developed with respect to constitutional guarantees of the due process or equitable process. The dossier about epistemological and juridical foundations of the criminal evidence aims to promote a critical and profound discussion of the necessary condition, although not sufficient, to a fair decision: the correct judgment about fact questions. Thus, the intention is to analyze the major themes of evidence general on the criminal procedure, such as: evidence theories; concept of evidence; relation between evidence and theories of truth; process model and purposes of the evidence; evidence and legality; inadmissibility and exclusionary rules (epistemological and ethical-political limitations); production of the evidence; immediacy; foundations and system of evaluation of the evidence; evidence standards and burden of proof; justifications for the choice of evidence.
 - **Deadline for submissions of articles: until December 10, 2017;**
 - Period of evaluation: December/17, January and February, 2018;
 - Prediction for publication: March, 2018.

- **Vol. 4, n. 2 – “Criminal Procedure Systems and Judicial Impartiality”**
 - Profa. Dra. Lorena Bachmaier Winter (Universidad Complutense de Madrid/Espanha)
 - <http://www.ucm.es/dpto-derechoprocesal/bachmaier-winter-lorena-1>
 - *Summary:* This dossier has the objective to contribute for the debate about criminal procedure systems, the role of the judge in evidence production and its relevance in relation with judicial impartiality and for the principle of equality of arms. The accusatorial system

not only redefines the role of the actors on criminal procedure, but also delimits the judge actuation, which must be limited to the facts provided by the parties and remain linked with the terms of the accusation. By giving protagonism to the parties, it seeks to situate the judge in a more favorable position to provide an objective judgment about the presented case, without weakening his impartiality and also the image of that impartiality. Thus, there is still an open debate on what should be the scope of judge's power in evidence production and if with the objective to reach the fairest sentence possible and suitable for the facts, can the judge abandon the passive-spectator position on the adversarial debate. Considering the wide doctrinal production on this subject this dossier intends to select articles that contribute with new theses and problematics, effectively analyzing if it is still pertinent or not the study of the criminal procedure systems. In addition, it will be accepted papers that examine the question of judicial impartiality and its various related issues.

- **Deadline for submissions of articles: until march 31, 2018;**
 - Period of evaluation: April and May, 2018;
 - Prediction for publication: June, 2018.
-
- **Vol. 4, n. 3 – “Criminal *res iudicata*, principle of legality, principle of culpability”**
 - Prof. Dr. Francesco Caprioli (Università degli Studi di Torino/Itália)
 - http://www.giurisprudenza.unito.it/do/docenti.pl/Show?_id=frcaprio
 - *Summary:* The scope of this dossier is stimulating the debate about the theme of criminal *res iudicata*, on the general perspective and, more specifically, focusing on its relations with the principles of culpability and legality. For example, mentioning some questions widely discussed on Italian criminal procedure, the analyses can concentrate on the following problems: limits of resistance of the criminal *res iudicata* in relation with the violations of the principles *nulla poena sine lege* and *nullum crimen sine lege* (Do the criminal sentences which inflict an illegal penalty or that condemn the accused for a fact that is not provided by law as a crime, have execution power?); the resistance limits of the criminal *res iudicata* in relation with the principle *nulla poena sine culpa* (In which measure the conviction by definitive sentence is subject to revision based on wrong facts evaluation about the responsibility of the accused?); the concrete meaning of the *ne bis in idem* principle (double jeopardy clause), that is, the concept of relevant *idem factum* for the operability of the principle; and the relations between procedural *bis in idem* and substantial *bis in idem* (the fact object of the second judgment must be considered on its exclusively naturalist

dimension, independent of the juridical qualification which the legal system offers? In which measure the prohibition of second judgment operates when the substantial criminal law tolerates the duplication of the sanctioning treatment, or when the legal system determines for the same fact, beyond penalty sanctions, administrative or civil punishment of considerable gravity?).

- **Deadline for submissions of articles: until July 10, 2018;**
- Period of evaluation: July, August and September, 2018;
- Prediction for publication: October, 2018.



Vinicius Gomes de Vasconcellos
Editor-in-chief
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/9628659956663949>
<https://publons.com/a/1174099/>

Nereu José Giacomolli
Editor-in-chief
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/5969235847033808>

André Machado Maya
Associated-editor
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/3870540054423155>

Caíque Ribeiro Galícia
Assistent-editor
<http://lattes.cnpq.br/2192755380515999>

Bruna Capparelli
Assistent-editor
<https://www.unibo.it/sitoweb/bruna.capparelli2>